Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	1x 8 Gy in 1 day	20x 2 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Mobility-	egain walking a	bility		,								
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}		no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	23/91 (25.3%)	(30.3%)	RR 0.89 (0.51 to 1.37)	4.99 fewer per 100 (from 18.64 fewer to 8.66 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Deenene	 duration- in field	Lecours						0%		-	LOVV	
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	34/91 (37.4%)	12/76 (15.8%) 0%	RR 2.37 (1.32 to 4.24)	21.57 more per 100 (from 8.69 more to 34.46 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity		-										
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	on free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
bladder fu	ınction									_		
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

¹ retrospective data collection

² No blinding reported

³ low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy