Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	1x 8 Gy in 1 day	15x 2.5 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	quanty	Importance
Mobility- I	Regain walking a	ability										
	observational studies	very serious <sup>1,2</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	23/91 (25.3%)	22/90 (24.4%)	RR 1.03 (0.62 to 1.72)	0.83 more per 100 (from 11.76 fewer to 13.42 more)	VERY	CRITICAL
								0%		-	LOW	
Respons duration- in field recurrence												
1	observational studies	very serious <sup>1,2</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious <sup>3</sup>	none	34/91 (37.4%)	10/90 (11.1%) 0%	RR 3.36 (1.77 to 6.39)	26.25 more per 100 (from 14.38 more to 38.12 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity									<u> </u>			•
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	on free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fu	ınction	•										
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> retrospective data collection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> no blinding reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy