Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	1x 8 Gy in 1 day	10x 3 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	importance
Mobility-	Regain walking a	ability										
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	23/91 (25.3%)	31/118 (26.3%) 0%	`	1 fewer per 100 (from 12.94 fewer to 10.95 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Respons duration - in field recurrence												
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	34/91 (37.4%)	12/118 (10.2%) 0%		27.19 more per 100 (from 15.86 more to 38.53 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	on free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fu	unction											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

¹ retrospective data collection

² no blinding reported

³ low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy