Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	5 x 4 Gy in 1 week	10 x 3 Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quanty	importance
Mobility-r	egain walking at	ility	_									
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	27/104 (26%)	(26.3%)	RR 0.98 (0.63 to 1.54)	31 fewer per 100 (from 11.89 fewer to 11.27 more)	VERY	CRITICAL
								0%		-	LOW	
Respons 1	duration- in field observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	33/104 (31.7%)	12/118 (10.2%) 0%	RR 3.12 (1.7 to 5.72)	21.56 more per 100 (from 11.09 more to 32.04 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	on free survival											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fu	unction											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

¹ retrospective data collection

² no blinding reported

³ low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy