Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations		5x 4Gy in 1	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Mobility-	⊢ Regain walking a	ability	1					ļ	(
1	observational	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	23/91 (25.3%)	27/104 (26%)	RR 0.97 (0.6 to 1.57)	0.69 fewer per 100 (from 12.96 fewer to 11.59 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
								0%		-		
Respons	duration - in fiel	d recurrence	ce		T	ı	I	1	1		Г	
1	observational studies	very serious ^{1,2}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	34/91 (37.4%)	33/104 (31.7%) 0%	RR 1.18 (0.8 to 1.74)	5.63 more per 100 (from 7.74 fewer to 19 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pain												
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Toxicity	·		1	!	!	!		·				
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		CRITICAL
Progressi	ion free survival		•		*				•			
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT
Bladder fo	unction											
0	No evidence available					none	-	- 0%	-	-		IMPORTANT

¹ retrospective data collection

² no blinding reported

³ low number of patients and the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the two courses radiotherapy