Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importonoo
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations		Split course RT 3x 5Gy 5x 3Gy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Pain (asse	essed with: (re	sponders)									
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none	80/142	79/134	RR 0.96	2 fewer per 100 (from		CRITICAL
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness			(56.3%)	(59%)	(0.78 to 1.17)	13 fewer to 10 more)	LOW	
								0%		-		
Mobility (a	assessed with:	Respond	ers)									
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none	97/142	95/134	RR 0.96	28 fewer per 1000 (from		CRITICAL
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness			(68.3%)	(70.9%)	(0.82 to 1.13)	128 fewer to 92 more)	LOW	
								0%		-		
Respons	duration (follow	w-up medi	an 3.5 months)									
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none	0/142	0/134	-	-		CRITICAL
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness			(0%)	(0%)			LOW	
								0%		-		
Neurologi	ical respons (B	etter indic	ated by lower val	ues)								
0	No evidence					none	142	134	-	-		CRITICAL
	available											
Toxicity-	Diarrhea Grade	3										
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ^{2,3}	none	2/142	2/134	RR 0.94	0.79 fewer per 100		CRITICAL
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness			(1.4%)	(1.5%)	(0.13 to 6.61)	(from 3.3 fewer to 1.7	LOW	
										more)		
								0%		-		
Progressi	on Free Surviv	al										
0	No evidence					none	-	-	-	-		IMPORTANT
	available							0%		-		
Bladder fi	unction (assess	sed with:	responders)		·				·			
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none	128/142	119/134	RR 1.02	1.35 more per 100		IMPORTANT
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness	-		(90.1%)	(88.8%)	(0.94 to 1.1)	(from 5.91 fewer to 8.58		
			-							more)		

								0%		-		
Toxicity- Esophagitis												
1	randomised	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	serious ³	none	1/142	2/134	RR 0.47	1 fewer per 100 (from 1		CRITICAL
	trials		inconsistency	indirectness			(0.7%)	(1.5%)	(0.13 to 5.14)	fewer to 6 more)	LOW	
								0%		-		

¹ blinding not reported ² low number of patients, the confidence interval crossed the clinical decision threshold between the short

course Radiotherapy and split course Radiotherapy

³ low number of events